Approved For Release 2004/03/31 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000300160024-5

Assistant Director, Reports and Estimates via Chief, Plans and Policy Staff Chief, Publications Division 2 December 1949

Monthly Report for November 1949

REFERENCE: ORE Operating Procedure - Instructions No. 1

- 1. Enclosures #1 and #2, ORE Status Report dated 1 December 1949 (1 copy) and Current Intelligence Production during November (4 copies), are forwarded for information.
- 2. No report on administrative matters or other developments is made at this time.

R. J. SMITH

Enclosures #1 (1 copy)
#2 (4 copies)

Applicant: Johan Auwerx et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 18202-033US1 / 1051US

Amendment & Response

Serial No.: 09/463,542

Filed: December 11, 2002

Without acquiescing to the Examiner's rejection and solely to expedite prosecution, claims 1-4, 7, 8, and 12-19 are cancelled herein. Thus, the rejection is now moot.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 5 AND 6 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one of skill in the art that the inventor(s) had possession of the invention at the time that the application was filed. The Examiner alleges that, because the claims recite specific plasmids deposited at ATCC as accession numbers 97906 and 97862, these plasmids must be obtainable by a repeated method or otherwise available to the public. The Examiner alleges that "others apparently deposited the plasmids" and thus contends that applicant must deposit the specific plasmids recited in the claims to satisfy the deposit requirement under 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.

In order to advance the application to allowance, claims 5 and 6 are cancelled herein rendering the rejection moot. Notwithstanding this, it is respectfully submitted that there is no evidence of record that the plasmids deposited at ATCC as accession numbers 97906 and 97862 were deposited by others.

OBJECTION TO CLAIMS 9-11

Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but are deemed allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. To expedite prosecution, claims 9-11 have been rewritten in independent form. Applicant respectfully submits that the objection is obviated by the amendment of claim 9-11 herein.

In view of the above, entry of the amendment and allowance of the application are

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephanie Seidman Reg. No. 33,779

Attorney Docket No. 18202-033US1 Address all correspondence to:

Address an correspondence to

Stephanie L. Seidman

Fish & Richardson P.C.

12390 El Camino Real

San Diego, California 92130

Telephone: (858) 678-5070 Facsimile: (202) 626-7796

email: seidman@fr.com